

CH AND THE

SOCIAL REVOLUTION CHR

DONALD K. KITCHIN KARL POLANYI JOHN LEWIS Edited by

Editorial Board

JOSEPH NEEDHAM

Fellow of Caius College and Reader in Bio-Chemistry in Cambridge University

CHARLES E. RAVEN

Regius Professor of Divinity in Cambridge University;
Canon of Ely

JOHN MACMURRAY

Grote Professor of the Philosophy of Mind and Logic in the University of London

VICTOR GOLLANCZ LTD London

THE ESSENCE OF FASCISM by Karl Polanyi

VICTORIOUS FASCISM is not only the downfall of the Socialist Movement; it is the end of Christianity in all but its most debased forms.

The common attack of German Fascism on both the organisations of the working-class movement and the Churches is not a mere coincidence. It is a symbolic expression of that hidden philosophical essence of Fascism which makes it the common enemy of Socialism and Christianity alike. This is our main contention.

morally more than precarious. own, as in Austria, her position is both politically and is reason to doubt whether the Lateran Treaty in Italy has calmly proceeds to secularise society and education. Ever when they succeed in asserting their independence, it religious independence of the Protestant Churches, and fulfilled her expectations. Where she seemingly holds her the Roman Church is under heavy fire in Germany. There have not ceased to be Christian. The State is attacking the because, in spite of all compromise with the world, they for some unchristian rivalry with the secular power, but Christianity. The Churches are suffering oppression, not Socialism is setting up definitely as a counter-religion to Pacifists and Religious Socialists. In Germany National are being persecuted by the Fascists. But so are Christian All over Central Europe Socialist Parties and trade unions

Our picture may seem to over-stress the importance of the German developments and to ignore the fact that the

THE ESSENCE OF FASCISM

struggle between Fascism and the Churches is far from different line of policy in different countries; and even in one and the same country the attitude of the various Christian communities to the Fascist Party State varies. In the encyclical, Quadragesimo Anno, the Pope opened an avenue of compromise with Fascist sociology; though this prepared to take its bearings on the future. Its experiment no doubt about the direction in which Rome was eventually happened before the victory of National-Socialism, it left with a kind of Catholic Fascism in Austria proves this Undoubtedly, the Roman Church follows a

conclusively.

seem rather to enhance than to diminish the significance of the German Church conflict, the seriousness and the conviction that it is to National-Socialism we must turn to reality of which should not be underrated. It bears out our discover the political and philosophical characteristics of full-fledged Fascism. Parallel movements in other countries are but comparatively undeveloped variants of the prototype. Italian Fascism, in spite of Mussolini, has no distinctive philosophy of its own; indeed, it is almost is marking time. Only in Germany has Fascism advanced characterised by a deliberate lack of it. Corporative Austria to that decisive stage at which a political philosophy turns But these instances of the Catholic will to compromise Soviet Russia is of the tentative Socialist policies of Labour far ahead of Italian or Austrian Fascism as Socialism in into a religion. National-Socialism is, indeed, almost as

Governments in Central Europe. secondly, the traditional feud between the Socialist Move-Church conflict as a proof of the inherent antagonism of of identity between Christianity and the Churches; Fascism to Christianity. There is, for one, the patent lack But, even so, there are objections to using the German

ment and the Churches on the Continent. who attacks the Christian Churches is attacking Christianity. Only too often has the opposite been true in the Undoubtedly, it would be impossible to argue that he

> mission, but because she was not; for who could deny that persecution not because she was faithful to her Christian of tsarist tyranny, at a time when the social ideal of the Orthodox Church in Russia was the political mainstay Church in Russia is revealed, where the Church suffered fate of the Western Churches in Germany and the Orthodox Incidentally, in this an important difference between the mission the significance of her witness cannot be denied. in defence of her Christian faith, in the universality of her revolutionaries and organised Christianity. However, as tion could bridge the gulf between the live faith of Christian Religious Socialists in Austria. Not even common persecuthe pale of the official Churches as ever; the same applies to Pacifists and Religious Socialists are as far removed from course of history. Even in Germany to-day, Christian Christianity was inherently on the side of revolution? long as the Church in Germany stands up against Fascism

class movement this hostility existed. Churches on the Continent, From the rise of the workingto the traditional feud between the Socialist Parties and the This helps to clear up the second objection: the reference

of Socialism, it fought its advance with all its power. At attacking them. This, however, is precisely our contention. dominantly reactionary, are unconsciously bearing witness Socialism, but in consequence of it, is National-Socialism to that Christian content which they have in common with the present juncture, however, the Churches, though pre-Christianity paid cautious lip service to the idealist aims bodying the ideals of Christianity. Though organised masses, also, the Western Churches were far from emfrom adducing it as an argument. For in the eyes of the Socialism. Thus, not in spite of its antagonism to Marxian But the Russian example should be a strong warning

The Fascists setting out to deliver mankind from the alleged movement. But at these roots lies the Christian inheritance. fails to dig down to the religious and moral roots of the No attack on Socialism can be permanently effective that On the face of it, the argument is really extremely simple

ultimate truth or untruth of the teachings of Jesus. delusions of Socialism cannot pass by the question of the

removing at the same time every vestige of the influence if so, why can they not eliminate this possibility withou institutions of Western civilisation? of society in such a manner as to rule out for ever the inevitably from the efforts of Fascism to re-cast the structure compelling reasons of a purely practical order. What are Christian ideals may have had on the political and socia possibility of the development towards Socialism? And these reasons? Are they accidental only, or do they spring pagan elements into the Christian religion, they do this for thought does not necessarily lead to a clash in reality may seem an insoluble contradiction in the realm of pure If Fascist Governments take great risks in order to infuse But politics does not deal with abstractions. That which

It is to the philosophy and sociology of Fascism we must

FASCIST ANTI-INDIVIDUALISM

of whatever description: the idea of anti-individualism.1 down as its basis the idea which in one form or another has prehensiveness. It is the manner in which its author laid become the guiding principle of all Fascist schools of though relevant to our enquiry is neither its priority nor its com-But that feature of his system which makes it peculiarly as well as general methodology, ontology, and metaphysics verse, and dealt, in detail, with politics, economics, sociology basis of a new theory of the State. In the subsequent years emerged in Italian Fascist politics he made this idea the he amplified this theory into a philosophy of the human unidecade before the corporative principle can be said to have gether fair to Professor Othmar Spann of Vienna. Half a comprehensive philosophic system of its own is not alto-The common complaint that Fascism has not produced a

and final degradation by Socialism," are then inevitable. 1 "Moral decay in Liberalism, cultural paralysis through Democracy,

> enquire more closely into its less obvious implications. After having first broadly established this fact, we will

society to be finally destroyed by the individualistic virus, no other outcome is possible. Once we allow the universalist principle of medieval representative Democracy supplies the political machinery. organisation of economic life, a transition for which as Spann himself terms it, prepares the way for a Socialist "barbaric, brutal, and bloody" rule of Liberal Capitalism, free" society. Historically, it is by way of Democracy and individuals." The Socialist ideal is definitely the "State-Liberalism that Individualism leads to Bolshevism. The regards society as being, essentially, lack of domination of off' is the outcome of its inherent Individualism which human beings by human beings, a 'free association' of anarchist Utopianism. "That in Marxism the 'State dies contends, Marx remained thoroughly individualist. In his theory of the State he is individualistic to the point of it is its consistent fulfilment. In spite of Hegel, Spann economic. Far from being the opposite of Individualism of the natural rights of man from the political sphere to the shevism is but the extension of the individualist doctrine escape the fatal consequences of the former. For Boland Universalism.1 Unless we accept the latter, we cannot make our choice between two world systems: Individualism is his belief we have come to the eleventh hour. We must career amid the middle-class ruin and despair of 1919. It Spann, the prophet of counter-revolution, starts on his

modern science, and thus, ultimately, for the atomistic Individualism in terms of which we have, to our undoing, vicious causational approach to natural phenomena in formal method of analysis. Basically it is responsible for the which he attempts to locate this virus. Individualism is with him not a principle confined to social philosophy—it is a The distinctive feature of Spann's system is the manner in

"The meaning of this term with Spann has nothing in common with its accepted use as current with the Christian Churches

come to conceive of society. Spann's "Universalism" professes to be the counter-method to this inclusive concept of

forces working for Socialism to-day pervades Fascism in all Individualism. of Western European development on the one hand, and two stages of Individualism embodied in the last centuries thus contrasts the National-Socialist revolution with the its forms. Ernst Krieck, the leading German pedagogue, Socialism on the other: from the time of the Renaissance, were regarded as a mere sum of autonomous individuals. he says, "the People, the State, Society, Economic Life, venes. In Socialism the sum ranks higher than the com-... With Marxism the dialectic move to collectivity superponent parts; this is due to a coercive mechanism which racy." Individualism, he asserts, is thus not overcome in Socialism is but Individualism with a different emphasis. Socialism; there is only a shifting of the centre of gravity. lies, however, preformed in representative mass Democ-In short: Socialism is preformed in Democracy. For The deep conviction of the individualistic nature of the

the individualist and Liberal origins of Socialism. Take racy, and Socialism are the enemy." Or the Catholic Mussolini himself: "Free-Masonry, Liberalism, Democ-Fascist, Malaparte: "It is originally Anglo-Saxon civilisation which has recently triumphed in democratic Liberalism and Socialism." Finally, the reactionary aristocrat, the which threw off the shackles of authority, made everybody Baron Julius Evola: "The Reformation supplanted Hierarchy by the spiritual priesthood of the Believers, his own judge and the equal of his fellow. This is the There is the same insistence amongst Italian Fascists on

starting-point of 'Socialist' decay in Europe." is the forerunner of Marxism, which would be entirely un-National-Socialism. To quote Hitler: "Western democracy thinkable without it." Similarly, Rosenberg: "Democratic But an identical attitude is apparent also in political

1 The term Universalism is generic; the specific term given by Spann to his philosophy is "Totalitarianism" (Ganzheitslehre).

of the Individual." And Gottfried Feder's semi-official comand Marxian movements take their stand on the happiness Individualism and Socialism. paradox a tactically well-considered amalgamation of pated form of speech which hides under its apparent lism and its Marxian and bourgeois satellites"—a syncomentary to the Party Programme curtly speaks of "Capita-

attack on the charge that Individualism is responsible for slaught of the Fascist, and invariably he will found his of this idea, however august, is safe from the ruthless onextreme opposition to Individualism. No spiritual ancestry anti-Socialism, consists precisely in this irreducible and of history like Spengler, are relevant to our problem. It ethnologists like Baümler, Blüher, and Wirth, philosophers ing that most of the work of psychologists like Prinzhorn, radically anti-individualist, philosophy. It is under this headcould provide a background to a distinctively Fascist, i.e. Fascism from all other shades and variants of reactionary would be safe to say that the invisible border-line dividing dualism can alone be preserved in the modern world. Hence economic system under which the substance of Indivia true one. Socialism is the heir to Individualism. It is the charges so misdirected as to be ineffectual. It has fixed upon in its will to destroy Socialism to afford to use as its weapons with this facile school of criticism. It is too deadly serious in middle-class literature. Fascism disclaims all solidarity the efforts to produce a systematic body of knowledge that shevism is the end of personality is almost a standing phrase favourite charge with the writers of the day; that Bolthe idea of human personality. Although sensitive minds Socialism has been assailed by its critics as the enemy of like Oscar Wilde discovered the fallacy, it remained a This unanimity is impressive. For a generation or two,

when they do not profess to have discovered a complete and super-patriotic tenets, turn against Individualism even many, whether based on racial or tribal or only national The new State-supported religious movements in Ger-

dispensation from ethics. Thus, Friedrich Gogarten's social ethics in a pointedly anti-individualistic sense. No the German Christian Movement, was aimed at redefining far from foreshadowing the subsequent rôle of its writer in Politische Ethik, the non-nationalist trend of which was very wonder that even the Catholic Church, which of all Chrisunchristian leanings in Fascism predominantly on the the individualist elements in its teachings, complains of the tian persuasions is known to be least inclined to overstress grounds of the lack of appreciation in Fascism for the

human individual as such.

embarrassing ambiguities inherent in the German Christian choice between these self-styled alternatives. It can thus position. It is German, not Christian. It prides itself on its proceed to proclaim the fundamental inequality of human individuals as individuals.1 This is the Individualism on which reached. For obviously the democratic implications of beings in the name of religion. Thus the ultimate aim is Individualism spring from the affirmation of the equality of Fascism is bent. It is the Individualism of the Gospels. Democracy is based, and on the destruction of which The German Faith Movement, lastly, is free from all the

representative Democracy as the point of attack for Fascism. between Socialism and Individualism. This singles out Spann's insistence that Democracy is the institutional link It is of signal importance to realise that the underlying We are back to our starting-point again. We noted

political belief is solidly founded in fact.

universal suffrage increased enormously the impact of the and, whenever a major crisis arose, Parliaments elected industrial working class on economic and social legislation, In Central Europe, if not in the whole of Europe,

1 Wilhelm Stapel, in his "Theology of Nationalism" (as the subtitle of Der Christliche Staatsmann runs), proves an almost injudiciously title of Der Christliche Staatsmann runs), proves an almost injudiciously title of Der Christliche Staatsmann runs), rare indebted for their frank despiser of ethics, which, as he propounds, "are indebted for their frank despiser of ethics, which, as he propounds, "are not yet capable existence merely to the sentimentality of those who are not yet capable of the sentimentality of those who are not yet capable existence merely to the sentimentality of those who are not yet capable. on Education, that "we cannot allow any imperative ethics to lay down for us the values and laws upon which we should act." of surrendering illusions." Even Ernst Krieck contends, in his handbook

> outlook. solutions. The steady progress of the Socialist Movement individualism is but the rationalisation of this politica raison d'être of the Fascist movements in Europe. Antiif Socialism is not to be, democracy must go. This is the dominating historical experience of the Continent in the once representative Democracy is allowed to stand, is the institutions is left unimpaired, Socialism must come. Thus, on the Continent that, if only the authority of representative post-war period. It is the main source of the conviction on a popular vote invariably tended towards Socialis

offspring of Individualism, it enables Fascism to pose before under a new alias. is no worse for the dip, and continues its existence unscathed ment against Liberal Capitalism is thus turned most denouncing Socialism and Capitalism alike as the commor effectively against Socialism without any reflection on requirements of this movement most adequately. By ingenious. First Liberalism is identified with Capitalism; the masses as the sworn enemy of both. The popular resentthen Liberalism is made to walk the plank; but Capitalism Though unconsciously performed, the trick is highly Capitalism in its non-Liberal, i.e. corporative, forms But the anti-individualist formula meets also the practical

II. ATHEIST AND CHRISTIAN INDIVIDUALISM

is its relationship to Socialism and Christianity? anti-individualism is, broadly speaking, the cue of all dividualism at which the Fascist attack is aimed, and what Fascist schools of thought. But what exactly is the In-We hope to have succeeded in establishing the fact that But we are not primarily concerned here with politics.

mentally rests, and against which Spann's attack must short, that the Individualism on which Socialism fundaargument is of a highly paradoxical character. It is, in The answer which we will try to extricate from Spann's

clarity, i.e. that meaning of individualism which Socialism Spann's argumentation is a failure. Yet incidentally it directed. Thus, as a critical contribution to Fascism, from the one against which his actual arguments are necessarily be aimed, is an entirely different Individualism reveals the true nature of the problem with exceptional and Christianity have in common.

and of society are fictitious and self-contradictory. Insuch an individuality cannot be real. Its spiritual autarchy dividualism must conceive of human beings as self-condouble assertion that its concepts both of the individual tained entities spiritually "on their own," as it were. But according to whether the individuals decided to "form it" individuals of this kind. It might or it might not existis imaginary. Its very existence is no more than a fiction. or not. This, again, would depend upon the more or less or irrational view of their self-interest, and so on. A society antipathy towards each other, whether they took a rational fortuitous circumstances of their feeling more sympathy or The same would hold good of a society that is made up of Spann's indictment of Individualism is based on the thus conceived must lack essential reality.

deed, they are conclusive. And yet they prove exactly the Nobody can deny the strength of these arguments. In-

opposite of what they are intended to prove.

against atheist Individualism. Both these forms of Inessentially Christian. His actual arguments are directed mental ambiguity. What he is aiming to disprove is the dividualism are theological in origin. But the reference to Individualism which is the substance of Socialism. It is other. In fact one is precisely the opposite of the other. No valid conclusions can be reached if we confuse them. the Absolute is negative with the one and positive with the Spann's criticism of Individualism is vitiated by a funda-

Kiriloff, am God." For God is that which gives meaning in Dostoevsky's The Possessed: "If there is no God, then I, to human life and creates a difference between good and The formula of atheist Individualism is that of Kiriloff

> ghastly failure. achieve this by committing suicide. His dying proves a and real by conquering the fear of death. He proposes to am god, for I do these things. The argument is irrefutable. evil. If there is no such god outside myself, then I myself In the novel, Kiriloff resolves to make his godhead actual

of Soren Kierkegaard, who, in a unique dialectic effort, and Dostoevsky had been anticipated by the lonely genius dealt half a century earlier. Historically, both Nietzsche sonality. Spann's criticism of Individualism is but a belatec the Autonomous Individual. attack on Nietzsche, with whose position Dostoevsky hac ematically exact refutation of this concept of human perhad a generation before them created and wiped out again in Kiriloff, Dostoevsky provided us with an almost mathwhom Smardjakoff also derives, but, most forcibly of all mythological figures of Raskolnikoff Stavrogin, Ivan, from heir to the gods Nietzsche had proclaimed dead. In the autonomous personality. The Titanic Superman is the about the true nature and limitations of the spiritually Dostoevsky's ruthless analysis of Kiriloff leaves no doubt

dividualism of Equals. For the latter is inseparably bound up with Christian as the other is with atheist Individualism. upholds unwittingly what he started to refute: the Inintends to uphold: the Individualism of Unequals, and ualism he refutes what in corporate Capitalism he eventually effective, though superfluous, attack on atheist Individalso gets through them into the wrong apartments. By his But Othmar Spann does not only force open doors, he

finite value, because there is God." It is the doctrine of the opposite relation to the Absolute. "Personality is of in-Christian Individualism arises out of the precisely

dualism of Luther or Calvin or Rousseau, the Individualism prescribed under its different aspects in the rise of Capitalism. It is the atheist Individualism of Kierkegaard's Seducer, of Stirner's Only One, of Nietzsche's Superman, the philosophy of a short transition period in which Liberal Capitalism was triumphant. ¹ Partly, indeed, prior to the actual publication of Zarathustra itself.
² Titanic Individualism derives the value of personality from the assertion that there is no God. It is not to be confused with the Indivi-

Brotherhood of Man. That men have souls is only another way of stating that they have infinite value as individuals. To say that they are equals is only restating that they have souls. The doctrine of Brotherhood implies that have souls is not real outside community. The reality personality is not real outside community. It is the Will of community is the relationship of persons. It is the Will

of God that community shall be real.

The best proof of the coherence of this series of truths lies in the fact that Fascism, in order to rid itself of one of the links finds itself constrained to renounce them all. It tries links finds itself constrained to renounce them all. It tries links finds itself constrained to renounce them all. It tries links finds itself constrained to renounce them all. It tries links finds itself constrained to denying that he has a soul. Like different properties of a denying

For the idea of Man and the idea of Society cannot be For the idea of Man and the idea of Society cannot be dealt with separately. What Fascism is contending with is the Christian idea of man and Society as a whole. Its is the individual central concept is that of the person. It is the individual in his religious aspect. The consistent refusal of Fascism to regard the individual in this aspect is the sign of its recognition that Christianity and Fascism are completely

The Christian idea of society is that it is a relationship of persons. Everything else follows logically from this. of persons. Everything else follows logically from this. The central proposition of Fascism is that society is not a relationship of persons. This is the real significance of a relationship of persons. The implied negation is the formatics anti-individualism. The implied negation is the formatics principle of Fascism as a philosophy. It is its essence, It sets to Fascist thought its definite task in history, science, It sets to Fascist thought its definite task in history, science, It sets to Fascist thought its definite task in history, science, in which society is not a relationship of persons. A society, in which society is not a relationship of persons. A society, in which there are either no conscious human

beings or their consciousness has no reference to the existence and functioning of society. Anything less leads back to the Christian truth about society. But that is indivisible. It is the achievement of Fascism to have discovered its whole scope. It rightly asserts the correlatedness of the ideas of Individualism, Democracy and Socialism. It knows that either Christianity or Fascism must perish in the struggle.

At first sight it seems almost inconceivable that Fascism should have undertaken a task which to our conventional minds seems so utterly hopeless. And yet it has. That its assertions and propositions are more startling than anything which Radicals of the Left have ever produced ought, however, not to surprise us. Revolutionary Socialism is but a different formulation and a stricter interpretation of truths generally accepted in Western Europe for almost two thousand years. Fascism is their denial. This explains the devious paths which it has been driven to explore.

III. THE SOLUTIONS

Let us restate the problem. How is a society conceivable which is not a relationship of persons? This implies a society which would not have the individual as its unit. But in such a society, how can economic life be possible if neither co-operation nor exchange—both personal relationships between individuals—can take place in it? How can power emerge, be controlled, and directed to useful ends, if there exists no individuals to express their wills or wishes? And what kind of human being is supposed to populate this society if this being is to possess no consciousness of itself and if its consciousness is not to have the effect of relating him to his fellows? In human beings endowed with the type of consciousness we know such a thing seems frankly impossible.

Indeed, so it is. Fascist philosophy deliberately moves on to other planes of consciousness. Their nature is suggested

of the Mind as the central principle. manner by Spann. It is known as the Totalitarian philof the Absolute Mind has been used in an equally extreme osophy, sometimes also referred to by the wider term theory of the Mind Objective, but with Totality instead Universalism. It is in some ways an analogy to Hegel's the Body-Soul theory of consciousness. Hegel's philosophy Klages to an appalling extreme. It is usually referred to as philosophy of Nietzsche has been carried by Ludwig They point to definite modes of existence. The Vitalist to convey here vastly more than mere systems of thought Totalitarianism from Hegel. But both terms are intended biocentric philosophy Vitalism derives from Nietzsche by the two terms: Vitalism and Totalitarianism. As a

substance of Vital consciousness is curiously enough called and the fatal contradictions of Fascist philosophy can best struggle of these two concepts that the partial insights moves to and fro between the two. It is in the terms of the Totalitarianism, the Mind. As a rule Fascist thought existence. Vitalism represents the animal plane of a darker be understood. a vaguer, more shadowy and hollow consciousness. The and more material consciousness; Totalitarianism implies define different, or, rather, opposite, types of human the "Soul" (a term introduced by Klages); that of As social philosophies Vitalism and Totalitarianism

IV. "SOUL" VERSUS MIND

Let us begin by a broad contrast.

of the Soul and drives the wedge of the Will into the ecstasy. No vapour of the Mind hovers over the surface out towards the faculty of intelligence; its climax is in to the plane of vegetative or animal life. There is no Ego there is no self. The tide of consciousness does not reach No movement towards self-realisation emerges because The first type of consciousness is the "Soul"; it belongs

¹D. H. Lawrence, Pansies.

crystallised in the day-dream of tribal existence. Life is tissue of animal instinct. Neither power nor value have immediate, like touch:

and only then. Touch comes when the white mind sleeps

and personal intimacy has no heart. uncontaminated, the unmental flood.1 Personalities exist apart; Touch is of the blood

soul, which still adheres to the womb of nature. Such is the structure of consciousness in undiluted Vitalism. metaphysical nourishment of this almost corporeal bodyflux of homoerotic emotionalism. Blood and soil are the clubs of the young men, or in matriarchal "sororities." alone which determine the flow of life whether in the is doubtful; in either case it is the communities of one sex The urge of sex runs like a thin thread through the rich Whether it is the rule of womanhood or that of manhood

to their common Friendship. What the individual person i.e. has become impersonal. Even friendship is not an imthe Whole; if they co-operate in producing them, they are mediate relationship of two persons, but a relation of both Nothing personal has here substance unless it be objectified, relating themselves not to one another, but to the product. their goods they are fulfilling an adjustment Totality, i.e. of Totality which comprises them both. If they exchange to one another except through the medium of that sphere which is the realm of Totality has not persons for its units. The Political, the Economic, the Cultural, the Artistic, the Religious, etc., are the units; persons are not related is society which is not personal relationship. Society producing that other plane of existence in which there from this as can be imagined. The Mind is the chief actor in The alternative type of consciousness is as far removed

gible entities, of Mind-stuff; the substance of persona except human beings. world of spectres in which everything seems to possess life existence is merely the shadow of a shadow. We are in a he thus encounters as colourless semi-translucent objectivis supposed to contain as a subjective experience in himself, ity outside himself. Society is a vast mechanism of intan-

existence of the Mind and Spirit. pragmatist, mythological, orgiastic, æsthetic, instinctive, and Hegel respectively. They are biocentric in the system spirit of a whole school of thought. Yet the values and picture, i.e. the values and ideas are related and graded, irrational, bellicose, or apathetic; logocentric in the second referred to in the first picture, i.e. survivalist, amoral, methods presented in them ultimately derive from Nietzsche hierarchic, orientated on reason, a realm of the objective arbitrary, each of the opposites being the compound of the The details of this broad contrast are more or less

of revolutionary dynamics; the one reduced to an exalted rather than a portrait. But as with Klages so with Spann the change enhances greatly the methodical usefulness of Animalism, the other to a static Totalitarianism: obviously the change serves only to increase the reactionary effect Both omissions are so vital that they suggest a caricature for a one-sided line of thought. Klages is Nietzsche without tual passion. But their present embodiments, though their systems from the point of view of Fascist philosophy. Nietzsche rid of anarchist-individualism; Hegel deprived the Superman. Spann is Hegel shorn of his dialectic. interior in stature, surpass them by much in the capacity Both Nietzsche and Hegel were thinkers of great intellec-

V. SPANN, HEGEL, AND MARX

kind of metaphysical justification of Capitalism. Objective without his dialectic tends to produce a new Spann's method in using Hegel's concept of the Mind

This can be readily seen when contrasted to Marx's

criticism of Capitalist society.

state of mankind. Human relationships in daily life are here immediate, direct, personal. Marx starts from primitive Communism as the original

talism, whether it be the State, Law, Labour, Capital, own. The same holds true of all social phenomena in Capior Religion. commodities which now possess a strange self-hood of their estranged from himself. Part of his self is embodied in these reality of a condition of affairs in which man has been money, Capital, Labour, the State. They are holds true of other "objectifications" like the value of character of exchange value, are not illusion. The same are real. For the pseudo-life of the commodity, the objective change places; seem to be masters of their own destiny. We are in a spectral world, but in a world in which spectres They follow their own laws; rush in and out of the market; modities, on the other hand, take on a semblance of life. value of commodities; it is objective, thing-like. Comit expresses itself in the objective guise of the exchange to produce for one another. But this relationship is now reality of the relationships persists; the producers continue by the medium of the exchange of commodities. The of immediate co-operation there is indirect co-operation hidden behind the exchange of goods; it is impersonal: intervenes. Human relationships become indirect; instead In a developed market-society distribution of labour

the community. Then human society will be real, for it personal. The means of production must be controlled by will be humane: a relationship of persons, of the division of labour they must be immediate, i.e. Human relationships are the reality of society. In spite But the true nature of man rebels against Capitalism.

Social phenomena are universally represented as thinglike; society. Thus pseudo-reality is justified and perpetuated. condition of man which is established as the reality of In Spann's philosophy it is precisely the self-estranged

yet, it is denied that there is self-estrangement. Not only the State, Law, the Family, Custom, and the like are "objectifications," as with Hegel, but so is every kind are social group function and contact, including economic of social group function and contact, including economic and private life. This leaves no foothold for the individual; man is entrapped in his condition of self-estrangement. Capitalism is not only right, it is also eternal.

sphere of political ethics; they do not affect the person. semi-feudal Prussian State are restricted, after all, to the apologia for State-Absolutism and his glorification of the The anti-individualist implications of this position go far beyond Hegel. The reason for this is easily found. His order to eliminate the concept of freedom from man's metaphysical substance of freedom-self-realisation. In a person, and as such can never entirely rid itself of the as it exists on Earth." But the State is itself, for Hegel, He proclaimed the State, not society, as "the Divine Idea to a most modest position in his system (which, incidentally, supreme. In fact this is precisely the point of difference world altogether, society-not the State-must be made and reserves Totality to society as a whole. By this subtle is in accordance with medieval organic conceptions), between Spann and Hegel. Spann relegates the State even a slave-state is a State, and thus can become free move he eliminates the very possibility of freedom. For method, the world of man in its totality is not a person; self-emancipation. Thus, in spite of the use of the Hegelian never become free; it would lack the very machinery of it could exist without the coercive power of the State could But a slave-society which was so perfectly organised that a more complete absence of self-determination in society freedom and there is no change. It may be doubted whether it is a helpless body devoid of consciousness. There is no was ever conceived.

VI. KLAGES, NIETZSCHE, AND MARX

If the Mind Objective suggests a kind of consciousness in human individuals which does not link them up in personal relationships, Vitalism implies human beings with no rational consciousness whatever.

It was the philosophy of Ludwig Klages which presented the lure of this startling line of thought to the younger generation in Germany.

Klages derives his thought from Nietzsche. But of the two different visions present in Nietzsche's mind, he follows up only one; and with the utmost consistency. Nietzsche had, if unconsciously, divided his allegiance between the Superman and the Blond Beast; Klages decided for the latter. He sums up both the greatness and the limitations of his master thus: "Nietzsche was the philosopher of the Orgiastic; the rest was no good." The "rest" means Zarathustra, Titanic Individualism, the Superman.

Klages is appalled at Nietzsche's inconsistency. He rails against Christianity—this feeble-nerved, vile, and cowardly religion of slaves in rebellion against the laws of Nature and Life, and yet refuses to comply with these laws himself, fatuously pursuing the phantom of some "higher" and "nobler" form of existence. Nietzsche, for all his passionate aversion to Christianity, Klages suspects, never quite overcame the Christian superstition that animal life was not enough. His philosophy of Natural Values is contaminated by spiritual elements. Klages made it the task of his life to decontaminate it.

He deduced from Nietzsche's orgiastic line of thought an anthropology comprising a theory of consciousness of human character, prehistoric culture, and mythology. J. J. Bachofen's antithesis between the chthonic and the solar principles in prehistoric culture inspires much of this work.

The core of Klages's anthropology is between the Body and the "Soul" on the one hand, the Mind on the other.

most pernicious form. That which it calls the Spirit is poison to the "Soul"; it is Will to Power bent on the mankind will have come. destruction of life. When it has succeeded, the end of symptoms of a Mind-process of which Christianity is the the $d\nu a\nu \kappa \dot{\eta}$ of the Greeks. Conscience and ethics are the it is more akin to the forces at work in parturition: like Will to Power. The urge of animal instinct is not purposive; domination is inherent in the Mind; it is the source of all in which the Mind takes hold of Life is the Will; for is reduced to a mere satellite of the Ego. But the main form person—a form of parasitism on Life in which the "Soul" emerges. The "Soul" is gripped by the Mind, becomes a signified with Klages not anima, but animus: the physiological companion of the Body. The Mind stands apart; Nature. With its occurrence, consciousness starts. The Ego harmony with his environment, a life-pervaded part of fateful intrusion occurred man remained in animal into the Body-Soul world; in fact, a disease. Before this it is the principle of consciousness. It is an inimical irruption Body and "Soul" belong together; for the "Soul"

For Klages, psychology is emphatically not a theory of consciousness. Life is unconscious. He distinguishes six fundamental concepts in psychology; only two of which are conscious. The Body finds expression in the process of sensation and the impulse to movement; the "Soul," in the process of contemplation and in the impulse to form (i.e. the magical or mechanical realisation of images); the Mind, in the act of apprehension and the act of volition. The first four relating to the Body and "Soul" can take place without consciousness; they are genuine "processes which in their totality constitute animal and human vitality. Apprehension and Will are conscious; they are the product of that extraneous and life-destroying principle, the Mind.

This is a far cry from Nietzsche's voluntarism. According to Nietzsche volition is a natural function of life; the Will to Power, the very embodiment of vitality. With Klages,

the Will is a product of the Mind; but the Mind is not a genuine part of vitality, it is the parent of that deadliest of all parasites of life, the Spirit which Nietzsche himself denounced in Christianity as the enemy.

with an instrument of armed struggle. It exists only in destruction of the enemy. The State is thus synonymous condition is the acknowledged necessity of the physical being the foremost institution of a political kind, its precategory based on the phenomenon of enmity. The State dance with Vitalism. The one is based on Karl Schmitt's Two theories of community can be said to be in accorso far as this is its hypothetical task. A world-State is a The reality of man lies in his capacity not to be a person. "Enmity" principle: Politics, according to him, is a position of Vitalism cannot be doubtful: natural man and natural society do not involve the individual consciousness. Christian "Spirit" of Love over life. Fundamentally dowed with consciousness and man devoid of it. The it is the choice between two concepts of man: man ensistently refuse, as he does, to submit to domination of the and ethics—or we must deny, like Klages, that the Will affirm what Nietzsche refuses to affirm, moral conscience and the Mind are natural to man, and then we can concontradiction to contradiction. For either we must accept Will as a natural expression of vitality—and then we must is a parasite of Life, whether it is the personality of man or the Superman. Thus a mistaken psychology leads from thustra no less ethics for being antichristian? Personality is not in Love, but in the Ethics. Yet, are the ethics of Zaraing the Will to Power, Nietzsche unwittingly reaffirmed Christianity in disguise. In the ethics of Love, the danger to Christianity, for fundamentally they are akin. In affirm-Nietzsche. In vain did he try to oppose the Will to Power Here, then, is the Source of all the inconsistencies in

central part of this anthropology. It is part of a theory of the Eros which is presented as an emotional ecstasy of a universal and essentially non-possessive nature, only superficially related to sexuality.

contradiction in terms, for such a State could not be at war for lack of an enemy. Ethical or economic alternatives to war are conceptually excluded from politics.

Schmitt's theory of politics fits in well with the Tribalism inherent in the social approach of the Vitalist.¹ It is a typical product of that *morale close* which Bergson has shown to be the expression of the instinctive tribal morality of fear. The counterpart to it is the *morale ouverte* of Christianity.

But the enmity theory of politics does not account for the undoubtedly existing content inside human community. Even though the killing of non-nationals be the logical justification of the national State it cannot be denied that there are also elements of harmony in community. Hans Prinzhorn, Klages's chief disciple, explains this phenomenon thus: The animal instincts of man refer us to an order of things in which perfect harmony reigns. Every animal is certain to end in the belly of another animal. This is the existential background to that pervading feeling of complete assurance which is a feature of all animal life in its natural environment. The principle of a "fixed sequence of devouring" together with lack of consciousness are the natural preconditions of that state of bliss which is associated with the memory of original community.

This theorem of the nature of human community suggests that Klages was not unsuccessful in his efforts to disinfect Nietzsche of his alleged Christianism. Eventually, he removed from Nietzsche every vestige of Individualism. The vast influence of Nietzsche on modern National-Socialism is due to a considerable extent to the conviction induced by Klages's life work that Nietzsche's Vitalism can be—logically, must be—detached from Individualism. Thus it can serve as the other alternative to a society which is not a relationship of persons.

The rediscovery of Bachofen by Klages deserves some notice. It is always a suggestive fact when a line of thought

1 We do not wish to imply that Karl Schmitt himself belongs to the Vitalist school.

unconsciously takes off at a point that proves to be a cross-road.

not enough; ten thousand years is nearer the mark! ment to have shown that the destruction of Christianity is safety nor permanence in reaction. It is Klages's achieveback the clock by full two thousand years there is neither sance, thus extending the recession to half a millennium. The German Faith Movement realised that unless we put and the German Christians proclaimed a counter-Renaisromantics like Moeller van der Bruck made it 1789. Spann Nationalists proposed to go back beyond 1918. Reactionary road is illusionist. Regression—but how far back? German ditions of closer human community. But the reactionary plane, representing alternative roads, as it were, to the con-Socialism and Fascism appear for an instant on the same primeval harmony of man with his environment. Thus, sents the move onward towards a higher replica of the and Klages's Body-Soul represent a move backwards to the blissful regions of undeveloped harmony. Marxism reprelie in opposite directions. Nietzsche's Dionysian principle of human existence in prehistoric times. But their impulses Klages himself by its poetic emphasis on the alleged unity Marx and Engels might have been as much fascinated as the main source of the Marxian vision of primitive society. Bachofen's work on matriarchy was, apart from Morgan,

The revolutionary solution was based on realities. The

Let us return to Vitalism and Totalitarianism. There is no need to regard them as logical alternatives. Yet their opposition between them; it suggests some measure of polarity. Vitalism is preconscious and prehistoric; Totalitarianism is post-conscious and post-historic. With the one, there is no necessity of change; with the one, there is no necessity of change; with the other, there is no possibility of it. With the one, the "Soul" the Mind is the reality, and it is the vestiges of the "Soul"

could justify either of them, it is the appalling alternative estrangement and unreality; Vitalism, the return to the sation and culture of any kind whatsoever. Totalitarianism society of the machine age there is no alternative to Capitaseen in Totalitarianism. But in a highly developed presented by the other. fumbling blindness of the cave. If there is one thing which thus signifies the perpetuation of the loss of freedom in selflism but Socialism. Consistent Vitalism is the end of civiliit is that condition of things in a market-society which is sonal entities of the Mind-world is not entirely fictitious; other is an apotheosis of the inhuman present. Indeed, the personality, but back to the unconscious social organism. cause Capitalist society does not lead onward to a higher "Soul" is undialectical; with the other, there is none besorbed in it. With the one, there is no dialectic, because the The one flees from the present into an animal past; the that cause the trouble. With the one, the person is not yet Vitalist's vision of a life sapped and destroyed by imperborn into society; with the other, he has already been ab-

VII. RACIALISM AND MYSTICISM

apparent. roots of its irreducible enmity to Christianity become towards Vitalism. It is in this tendency that the deepest Brotherhood of Man. Yet, the trend in Fascism is distinctly mould from that which was created by the doctrine of the accepted, would force our consciousness into a different would not be a relationship of persons. They attain this end in establishing that which is the main requirement of by presenting us with a vision of man's existence which, if Fascist philosophy—the concept of a human society that the two poles of Vitalism and Totalitarianism. Both succeed Actual Fascist thought is in continuous oscillation between

Vitalist bent most consistently. Racialism and mysticism It is in the German scenery that Fascism reveals its

> rationality and nationalism. Capitalism which in itself it fails to satisfy, i.e. technological Vitalism to meet two essential requirements of corporative are the corollaries of this development. They enable

Nietzsche and Hegel were emotionally anti-nationalist. Objective cannot stop short of mankind. Indeed, both nationalism. Klages claims the discovery of anthropological laws of the general validity; Spann's method of the Mind leave in their conceptual structure but scant room for It is a curious fact that both Vitalism and Totalitarianism

and nation in Fascist thought will emerge later on. substitute for the nation. The pivotal character of race National-Socialist philosophy is Vitalism using the race as a tribal reality and the artificiality of the modern nation. can be easily fitted into the materialist pattern of Vitalism. The concept of the race acts as a common denominator to However, with the help of a fiction, the idea of the nation

need for rationality inseparable from technological civilisation endangers the whole fabric of Fascist philosophy. how can the Ego emerge without a responding Thou? The re-introduced without re-establishing the person? And osophy of Fascism. Yet how can rational-consciousness be is precisely this principle which singles it out as the phil-Will directed towards achievement, i.e. the organised consciousness of the psychological Ego. But Vitalism is an the reality of man in his capacity not to be a person; and it affirmation of the non-conscious functions of life; it seeks ducers of all grades there must be use of the intellect and the machinery is to be run in corporative Capitalism. In pronot its concept alone, which must be secured if modern The need for rationality raises deeper issues. It is its reality,

is this: Is it possible to give a meaning to my life without finding it ultimately in that of the other? the philosophic problem of Fascism in its religious form. It The problem is obviously a religious one. Indeed, it is

for it. Without it Mysticism degenerates into a formal state Mysticism is a product and proof of faith; not a substitute The Fascist solution is in pseudo-Mysticism. True

sphere of the Spirit but to that of the Soul. Whether it is religious content. Such a Mysticism does not belong to the of a mind, which can be filled with almost any æsthetic or of the Soul (or even the animal body) against the Spirit is spiritual. The use of this method in order to assert the reality Mysticism of modern æstheticism, it is psychological, not the orgiastic Mysticism of paganism or the fashionable pseudo-Mysticism. From the point of view of religion, low. Mystic experience encompasses the whole Universe is also the separation of man from man by God. Mystic man For mysticism is the communion of God and Man; thus it which is inherently social, it is a negative phenomenon. except my neighbour; the mystic Ego has no human Thou has God at hand; he is separated by Eternity from his felemotions, that is safe against any aberration into ethics. In uses mysticism as an outlet for religious and æsthetic mysticism, only this time as an alternative to faith, Fascism soul, is coexistent with a complete dissolution of personality reason and will, a very deification of the faculties of the the mystic state of mind the most exalted valuation of to correspond. Thus, in reaffirming medieval German essentially unsocial. In Eckehart's Christian faith mysticism continue in his seclusion in spite of a new world calling was the expression of the yearning of the medieval soul to itself. But the rationality and will thus mystified remain rational consciousness for the individual without establishimperatively for contact and wider companionship. In govern God Himself-no stronger safeguard for the ing him as a social unity. For in the mystic system of National-Socialism it serves to build an artificial centre of rationality of Nature is conceivable. Thus pseudo-Mysticism Eckehart God Himself is born in the human soul; its laws meets perfectly the requirements of a curiously circumspect ality in the relations of man to man. Eventually the relations of man to nature with a complete lack of rationirrationalism which combines extreme rationality in the adoration of the blood and the race provides for this mystic vessel a content closely homogeneous to Vitalist philosophy

> Socialist religion in the making which is transformed thus into a faith. It is National-

VIII. VITALISM VICTORIOUS

The tendency of National-Socialism to produce a political the machine age; the trend towards vitalist supremacy; Totalitarianism; the adaptation of Vitalism to the needs of us familiar: the double dependence upon Vitalism and aspects of Fascist thought with which our analysis has made creating a mythus. His efforts mirror all the different religion is manifest in Rosenberg's work. He calls this and anti-individualism as the final test of adequacy.

very much deeper. deeply committed to Vitalism, his rejection of Spann cuts spite of his criticism of Klages, Rosenberg remains himself there is an important difference to be noted: while, in by rejecting both the systems of Klages and Spann. Yet Rosenberg tried to define his own philosophical position

which holds that nothing is good or bad, but race makes progress, Kosenberg protests against his obnoxious tendency discovery of the original unity of Body and Soul, and of entirely contrary to the basic tenets of racialist philosophy, that state of "complete assurance" in which the human of gratitude National-Socialist thought owes to Klages's by proscribing also the Will to Power as Nietzsche also had Neo-Vitalism, he complains, has not improved on Nietzsche to set up general laws of human development. This is animal enjoys a harmony untroubled by moral conscience. done with the Gospel of Love. He is conscious of the debt consciousness fashioned on the pattern of paleolithic man. attempt to run modern Capitalism on the basis of a human he comments. He is fully aware of the hopelessness of the cannot be pressed into the service of super-civilisation," outlook on civilisation." "The forces of pre-civilisation But, apart from Klages's reactionary prejudice against Rosenberg turns sharply against Klages's "pessimistic

it so. Rosenberg proceeds to recast Klages's anthropology on racialist lines. According to him, both the harmony of the Body and Soul which Klages attributes to primitive man and the radiant qualities of the Mind and Spirit, which in other races are so destructive of that harmony, should be credited to the Nordics. For with them the higher forms of consciousness never degenerate into those pathological excretions of the Mind with which Christianity presents us. These are the outcome of the bad blood of the lower or mixed races such as inhabited Asia Minor, Syria, and the Mediterranean basin in historic times. The mind of the Nordic "is naturally Vitalist"; his religion is Sunworship—a sound persuasion which never falls a victim to Oriental magic, wizardry and superstition.

However, Rosenberg finds it difficult to suit Klages's anthropology to the needs of Aryan mythology. There is more than a suspicion that the idealised "Soul" of complete natural assurance and harmony was deduced by Klages from the religious, mythological, poetic, and archeological documents of the peoples of Asia Minor in the pre-Hellenic days, i.e. precisely that "Syrian" race and "Mediterranean medley" so despised by the anti-Semite and anti-Catholic ideology of Rosenberg. Also, Klages happened to believe in Bachofen's theorems on primitive matriarchy. Rosenberg believes in patriarchism for the Nordics; he is adamant on this point.

Rosenberg's own philosophy is essentially Vitalist. "Truth is that which the organic principle of life determines as such," Or: "The highest values in logic and science, in art and poetry, in morals and religion are but the different aspects of the organic truth of the race." His theoretical and practical aims are perhaps best summed up in the phrase that "all true civilisation is but the shaping and moulding of consciousness according to the vegetative and vital characteristics of the race." It is important to note that this concept of the race is not in itself necessarily a biological one. Although as a rule the race is identified with blood, it is just as often regarded as consisting of various

different elements, of which ancestry is only one, even if the dominant. Thus, not the Body but the "Soul" is the bearer of the race—an extension of this concept which makes it very much easier to graft Nationalism on the race theory than would otherwise be the case.

as the unconscious basis of Rosenberg's own philosophy, the latter's rejection of Spann is infinitely more downright. Rosenberg turns with hate and scorn against Universalism. The Old Testament and the Jewish mind, the New Testament and the Christian mind, the Roman Church and Marxian Socialism, Pacifism and Humanism, Liberalism turn denounced as Universalist. This series includes almost everything the author despises from the Psalms to the understanding of the precise meaning Rosenberg attaches passionate hostility to Christianity which is apparent in the Vitalist line of Fascist thought.

it is the claim of an idea to apply to mankind as a whole, i.e. to all individuals or groups of individuals constituting use Rosenberg makes of it in his Mythus, is that of an idea implying the concept of mankind. In other words, positive meaning, as deduced from the most extensive thus more or less synonymous with non-racialism. Its in their Christian mission. Negatively, Universalism is racialism for its implied denial of the Universalism inherent current, e.g. with the Churches, when they denounce roughly corresponds to the accepted use of the term as the term in an entirely different sense. Indeed, his meaning Rosenberg describes this system as Universalist, he uses salism, in Spann's terminology, denotes a method of logical the parts," or the Hegelian, "The truth is the whole." When analysis inspired by the Aristotelian, "The whole is before philosopher describes his own Totalitarian system. Univer-"Universalism," the general term by which the Vienna To start with, it has nothing in common with Spann's

it. In fact it is the strict opposite of the racialist principle which makes the different value of different races axiomatic, and thus implicitly denies both the concept of the equality of individuals and of the unity of mankind alike. In this sense, Universalism and Individualism, far from being opposites, are correlative terms. Accordingly Rosenberg proclaims that the ultimate antagonism in philosophy is that between the racial-national principle on the one hand, the individualist-universalist principle on the

This explain Rosenberg's criticism of Spann's Totalitarian philosophy. He arraigns it as being "Individualist because it is Universalist." This may sound astonishing when we remember that Spann made anti-individualism the guiding principle of his system. However, Rosenberg rightly contends that no line of thought which refuses to accept the racial-national principle (as Spann does) can entirely escape the individualist implication of human equality. What Spann refutes is only the rationalist, materialist Individualism of the nineteenth century, not Individualism as such. Indeed, we used exactly the same argument ourselves when attempting to show that Spann's attack missed its object: the refutation of Christian Individualism.

A clear-cut anti-individualist philosophy must reject the concept of mankind in any but the barest zoological sense. Hence the vehemence with which Fascists of all shades inveigh against its very ideas. The racial-national principle is thus entrusted with the double function of resisting both the individualistic and the universalistic poles of the idea of humanity as a community of persons. The Fascist denial of Internationalism is but the counterpart of its denial of Democracy. Corporative Capitalism is both authoritarian and nationalist; it asserts the inequality of individuals and the inequality of nations alike. "Internationalism and Democracy are inseparable," announced Hitler, in his still insufficiently noticed Düssel-dorf speech on the foundations of National-Socialism.

berg's views are not mainly accounted for by their respective positions and functions. The religious wars of the whole of Germany the philosophic outlook of its author. stook place at a time when the Mythus had revealed to the shade between the public expression of Hitler's and Rosenmissioner in matters relating to the philosophy of life" sm. Rosenberg's appointment as "the Führer's Com-It is doubtful whether the existing differences in tone and towards its deliberate substitution by a new form of paganundertaking to uphold Christianity from a policy directed anity of the Gospels "negative Christianity"—suggesting by this simple device to bridge the gulf which divides an Rosenberg's Mythus. He ingeniously termed the Christiauthors of the programme was followed by what amounted ment. This hint at a possible mental reservation with the religion inside the orbit of the National-Socialist moveto a declaration of war on "positive Christianity" in referred to the eventuality of the emergence of a new Gottfried Feder's comments on the party programme on this account. Indeed at a comparatively early date without being seriously open to the charge of insincerity while continuing to do lip service to positive Christianity, tactics, which allowed him to act upon those convictions, but also an endorsement of the principles of Machiavellian convictions that were obviously contrary to Christianity, that this plank in its platform was not to be adhered to Hitler's own philosophy did not only include racialist more strictly than other planks since entirely dropped, party declared for positive Christianity, events have shown Socialism from the start. If the original programme of the oncoming religious conflict was apparent with National-Accordingly the consciousness of the inevitability of the ideology in the sphere of the human world as a whole. individual and mankind are the two poles of the Christian Fascism, whether National-Socialist or otherwise; the problem. The race or the nation is the supreme value in universalist principle goes to the heart of the religious The racial-national opposition to the individualist-

of Teuton Europe—Christianity. spirit of infinite devotion to the service of mankind which into the spiritual plague that has stricken the heathen sou force of the West during the course of its history: a remission of that "spirit of the desert" which has sapped the life-Russian Revolution in Russia is for him but a new eruption embodiments of the Christian inspiration, For the Socialist is apparent both in Tolstoi's and Dostoevsky's poetic veterate internationalism of Russian Communists to that is due to the Christian virus. He rightly traces the inreiterates his conviction that the morbid strain of pacifism equality and the unity of mankind. The Commissioner and humanitarianism engrained in the European mind denial in the name of the pernicious delusions of human with the one religion, while the other is their persistent and nation, strife and survival are the ultimate realities minds and spirits which is the feature of our time; blood ness are, for Hitler, the true analogy to that cleavage of seventeenth century that turned Germany into a wilder-

The Churches, in bearing witness to Universalism, stand for the essence of their faith. But so do, also, the German Fascists in denying human equality to the last. The battle is engaged between the representatives of the religion which has discovered the human person and those who have made the determination to abolish the idea of the person the centre of their new religion.

IX. THE SOCIOLOGY OF FASCISM

Fascist philosophy is the self-portrait of Fascism. Its sociology is more in the nature of a photograph. The one presents it as it is mirrored in its own consciousness; the other in objective light of history. How far do the two pictures correspond?

If the philosophy of Fascism is an effort to create a vision of the human world in which society would not be a conscious relationship of persons, its sociology proves it to be an

manner as to eliminate any tendency of its development towards Socialism. The pragmatic link between the two destruction of the institutions of Democracy. For, in the historical experience of the Continent, Democracy leads to Socialism; thus if Socialism is not to be, Democracy must tion of this political conclusion. It is thus essential to Fascist bhilosophy to regard Individualism, Democracy, and same interpretation of the nature of man and society. We Christian one.

However, in this order of things there is not only the sociological nature of the Fascist Movement, but also that of the Fascist System to be considered. Obviously Fascism must aim at more than the mere destruction of Democracy; it must attempt to establish a structure of society which would eliminate the very possibility of its reversion to Democracy. But what is the precise nature of the tasks entailed in such an attempt? And whydoes it compel Fascism to continue in that attitude of radical anti-individualism which is the necessary ideology of its militant phase? The answer entails at least a cursory view of the nature of the Corporative State.

The mutual incompatibility of Democracy and Capitalism is almost generally accepted to-day as the background of the social crisis of our time. Differences of opinion are confined to formulation and emphasis. Mussolini's *Dottrina* has it succinctly that Democracy is an anachronism, "for only an authoritative State can deal with the contradictions inherent in Capitalism." In his conviction the time of Democracy has passed, but Capitalism is only at the very beginning of its career. Hitler's Düsseldorf speech, to which we have already referred proclaims the utter incompatibility of the principle of democratic equality in politics and of the principle of the private property of the means of

mutually incompatible; and socialists of all creeds decommon conviction of "Interventionist" and of "Liberal" system practised by representative Democracy inevitably to save the present economic system by force. nounce the Fascist onslaught on Democracy as an attempt the fact that Capitalism and Democracy have become Socialists may differ from them on the reasons but not on Fascists that Democracy leads to Socialism. Marxian condoned as the safeguard of Liberal economics. It is the diminishes the sum total of goods produced; Fascism is of the Mises school urge that the interference with the price present crisis; for "Democracy in politics and Communism production in economic life to be the main cause of the in economics are based on analogous principles." Liberals

abolition of the Democratic "political sphere" altodemocratic principle from politics to economics, or the Basically there are two solutions: the extension of the

separate autonomous economic sphere: the democratic essentially is Socialism. political sphere becomes the whole of society. This, means of production, and hence the disappearance of a implies the abolition of the private property of the The extension of the democratic principle to economics

This is the Fascist solution. economic life remains; Capitalism as organised in the different branches of industry becomes the whole of society After abolition of the democratic political sphere only

setting up of the Corporative State; both Hitler and cernible. Fascism proceeds but reluctantly towards the Democracy cannot be trusted to be ripe for corporative the tendency towards Democracy has become clearly dis-Mussolini seem to think that a generation which has known Russian Socialism is still in the dictatorial phase, although Neither the one nor the other has yet been realised.

realisation of the dependence of the whole upon individual Roughly the sociological content of Socialism is the fuller

> conscious and immediate relationship of persons. at making society an increasingly plastic medium of the are the characteristics of a type of organisation which aims tensive Democracy in small circles, education for leadership, training for political and economic self-government, insive oversight of the process of industry and of the rôle of the tion of this end. Encouragement of the initiative of all proindividuals in it, functional and territorial representation, ducers, discussion of plans from every angle, comprehen-State and its organs work towards an institutional realisasponsibility of the individual for his share in the whole. The will and purpose—and a corresponding increase of re-

human consciousness as it is known to us. The period of in it. Such a structural order cannot exist on the basis of the numbers of the human beings involved find expression technical and impersonal. Neither the ideas and values nor Representation is accorded to economic function; it is which formerly pertained to the political State. The actual organisation of social life is built on a vocational basis. almost all the legislative, executive, and judicial powers arising in their sphere; they become the repositories of corporations, and endowed with the privilege to deal with the economic, financial, industrial, and social problems The different branches of industry are legally recognised as beings are considered as producers, and as producers alone. of universal suffrage and parliamentary Democracy; of they must equally disappear. In this structural order human organised public opinion based on Democracy in small kind of influence; or any combination of these—in Fascism municipal and cultural bodies; of religious and academic groups; of the free expression of thought and judgment in not come into being. The objection is not to the form of freedom guiding society through channels peculiar to this Democracy, but to its substance. Whether it takes the form ing it. If this is to be achieved, such a will and purpose must the conscious will and purpose of the individuals constitutof society which rules out the dependence of the whole on The sociological content of Fascism is a structural order

transition to another type of consciousness must be necessarily long. Hitler measures its length in terms of generations. The Fascist Party and State work by all means towards an institutional realisation of this change. Unless they succeed in achieving this end, an abrupt transition of society to Socialism is almost inevitable.

A bare outline of the objective nature of Fascism thus tends to support our interpretation of its philosophy. The Fascist system has to carry on persistently the task begun by the Fascist Movement: the destruction of the democratic parties, organisations, and institutions in society. Fascism must then proceed to attempt to change the nature of human consciousness itself. The pragmatic reasons for its clash with Christianity are due to this necessity. For a Corporative State is a condition of things in which there is no conscious will or purpose of the individual concerning the community, nor a corresponding responsibility of the individual for his share in it. But neither such a will not such a responsibility can pass from our world altogether so long as we continue to conceive of society as a relationship

Ι

MORAL SANCTIONS AND THE SOCIAL FUNCTION OF RELIGION

by Bruno Meier

I. CALVINISTS, ANABAPTISTS, AND THE ASCETIC MOTIVE

Of ALL THE EXISTING Christian Churches the denominations derived from Calvinism have the deepest roots in modern society; so we start our investigation with a discussion of their origins and their present function.

spiritually. In his secular life he was under constant superand allayed the sense of sin through the confessional and sibility for moral decisions by his authoritative counsels vision, by his lord in the village, and by the guild in the town; his work was ritualised by ancient traditions; his feudal system man was treated as a child, materially and of the religious crisis in the sixteenth century. Under the There is no necessity to construct "economic" explanations tional feudal social bonds at the end of the Middle Ages. necessary as a consequence of the breakdown of the tradimation by giving it a real and living application to conof capitalist society and consequently are best adapted to under the direction of the priest, who took away all responleisure canalised into public feasts. His spiritual life lay temporary life. The reformation of the Church had become it. They alone brought out the real meaning of the Refor-They came into existence in connection with the growth